UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	V. Elmer Porras-Chinchilla Defendant	Case No. 1:10-cr-00247-PLM			
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, lefendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings of	f Fact			
(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:				
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destru a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not				
	Alternative Findin	·			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	nmitted an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in:*			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa				
√ (1)	Alternative Findin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community.			
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	ons for Detention			
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing			
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in any case. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change. 					

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 30, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
•		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge